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ABSTRACT: Full electroluminescence (EL) white-light-emitting diodes (WLEDs) are currently a focus of research in the development of white light sources, owing to their outstanding potentiality and advantages in energy saving. The achievement of EL white emission always involves balanced co-excitation of multi-color emissive centers (red/green/blue (R/G/B) or blue/orange (B/O)). However, R/G/B/O emitters have different bandgaps, making co-excitation extremely difficult. It is known that excitons formed in a wide-bandgap emitter are easily transferred to any narrow-bandgap emitter. Therefore, the regulation of the energy distribution among multi-color emissive centers is one of the key issues for the realization of white emission and improvement of EL performances and color quality/stability of WLEDs. Currently, many energy regulation strategies have been proposed, promoting the development of full EL-WLEDs. In this Focus Review, we discuss energy-transfer mechanisms and energy regulation strategies in various of WLEDs, including white organic light-emitting diodes (WOLEDs), white quantum-dots light-emitting diodes (WQLEDs), and white perovskite light-emitting diodes (WPgLLEDs). Finally, according to their status and challenges, we will offer an outlook, which we hope can inspire researchers and make a contribution to the developments of lighting, display fields, etc.

Since the early days of human existence, artificial light sources have been devised with the characteristics of natural sunshine. Such artificial light sources have undergone four generations: oil lamps (flame lighting), incandescent lamps, fluorescent lamps (gas lighting), and light-emitting diodes (LEDs) (semiconductor solid-state lighting, SSL). Flame lighting involves an energy release process from chemical energy to internal energy, during which there is a large amount of energy loss. Incandescent bulbs and fluorescent tubes, which convert electricity into internal energy to emit photons, merely convert approximately 5% and 20% of consumed electricity into light, respectively. Therefore, the energy waste rate is still extremely high. In 1996, researchers from Nichia Chemical Co. used a blue InGaN LED chip to excite yellow (Y) phosphors (YAG) and successfully achieved the first white light-emitting diode (WLED), called a phosphors-converting WLED (PC-WLED). PC-WLEDs usually refer to low-energy visible light phosphors excited by high-energy electroluminescence (EL), which typically includes two modes: blue LED chips dominate partial conversion, and UV/near-UV or violet LED chips dominate full conversion. In the partial conversion, part of the blue light emitted from a blue chip by electrical excitation is absorbed by phosphors (Y or Y + red (R)), while the rest is used to combine with phosphors for white light. In the full conversion, the EL light from a UV LED chip is completely absorbed by R + green (G) + blue (B) or white phosphors. Compared to the first- and second-generation lighting technologies, the PC-WLEDs show advantages in cutting greenhouse gas emissions and saving energy. Now, PC-WLEDs are the prevailing mainstream lighting technology. However, PC-WLEDs are always susceptible to energy loss due to thermal quenching, scattering, and photobleaching. Accordingly, developing WLEDs with high electrical energy utilization is a feasible strategy to reduce the waste ratio of electrical energy. Compared to PC-WLEDs, full EL-WLEDs can directly utilize injected carriers to radiatively recombine for white light. More electrical energy can be used to generate photons, indicating high brightness with low power con-
Therefore, the development of full EL-WLEDs has presently become a research focus.4

According to the statistics, the electricity consumption for lighting accounts for over 15% in total and emits 5% of greenhouse gas all around the world.16,17 It is predicted that, with the population continuously growing, the demand for lighting will have ascended to 50% of the present consumption by 2030.18 Thence, the development of new-generation lighting technology, e.g., full EL-WLEDs, is an urgent task.

Full EL-WLEDs are formed by using emitters of complementary colors [blue (B)/orange (O)] or three primary colors [red (R)/green (G)/blue (B)] as emissive layers (EMLs). Currently, highly efficient WLEDs have been developed, which are primarily based on three kinds of luminescent materials: organic molecules, quantum dots (QDs), and perovskites. The color quality and EL performance (efficiency, lifetime) of WLEDs are dominated by many factors: (1) properties of the luminescent materials, such as the optoelectronic properties [e.g., full width at half-maximum (fwhm), photoluminescence yields (PLQYs)] and physical/chemical properties; (2) device structures [e.g., multi-color emitters mixed in a single emitting layer (SEL) (Figure 1a), multi-color emitters stacked (Figure 1b), or a single emitter (Figure 1c)]; and (3) processing technology determined by materials properties and device structures, such as thermal evaporation, spin-coating, and printing. These factors have been widely recognized, analyzed, and summarized19−25 and are guiding the development and application of the WLEDs. However, there is a common key issue affecting the generation of white light, color stability, and device performance of various kinds of WLEDs, which is energy-transfer behavior, but it has received little attention. On one hand, different emissive centers have unequal bandgap values. The wide-bandgap emitter (e.g., B) requires high energy to excite, while the excitation of the narrow-bandgap emitter (R or O) needs relatively low energy. On the other hand, as shown in Figure 1d, excitons formed in the wide-bandgap emitter are easily transferred to any lower ones (e.g., B to G/R or G to R).26 These energy issues make it difficult for multi-color emissive centers to achieve balanced multi-color emission. This means that energy distribution needs to be controlled effectively for regulating the white light quality and improving the performance of WLEDs (Figure 1e).

Therefore, we considered, it is particularly important to understand the energy-transfer mechanism and behavior and summarize energy regulation strategies in WLEDs, in order to inspire researchers and help make improvements in WLEDs’ performance and the development of new WLEDs. In this Focus Review, we dissect the energy-transfer mechanism and summarize its regulation strategies in WOLEDs, WQLEDs and WPeLEDs.
ENERGY REGULATION IN WOLEDs

In WOLEDs, energy transfer is extremely complex, including not only intramolecular energy transfer but also intermolecular energy transfer. In organic molecules, due to the influence of spin statistics, holes and electrons recombine to form singlet and triplet excitons, accounting for 25% and 75%, respectively. Different organic molecules, i.e., fluorescent, phosphorescent, and thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF), have different exciton utilization. In fluorescence, singlet excitons (25%) can only recombine radiatively, while the remaining triplet excitons decay in the form of non-radiative recombination (Figure 2a), leading to an upper limit of excitons utilization. In phosphorescence, triplet excitons recombine radiatively to emit light. Owing to the heavy-atom effect caused by organic–metal complexes, singlet states (S1) can be harvested by the singlet–triplet intersystem crossing (ISC) process, inducing a maximum excitons utilization of 100%, in theory (Figure 2b). In TADF emitters, the triplet states (T1) can be harvested as delayed fluorescence through the reverse intersystem crossing (RISC) process, which requires a sufficiently small singlet–triplet energy gap difference (ΔE<sub>ST</sub>) in TADF emitters (Figure 2c). Thus, TADF emitters can also achieve 100% exciton utilization in theory.

In order to realize high-efficiency WOLEDs, it is first to take advantage of the excitons of these molecules, which is intermolecular energy regulation as mentioned previously. For example, aiming at triplet excitons (75%) of traditional fluorescent molecules, some tactics are exploited to make them useful. In addition to intermolecular energy regulation, intramolecular energy regulation between host–guest or among guests is also particularly significant in the realization of WOLEDs. These energy regulations mainly rely on the different device architectures, for example, multi-color emissive layers in a SEL and multi-color emitters stacked. Following, energy regulation strategies mixed in SEL- and stacked-WOLEDs will be introduced in detail.

In SEL-WOLEDs, a common strategy to regulate energy is host–guest doping. In one way, a very wide bandgap host is committed to manipulating carrier injection and distribution, while guests can directly capture carriers to form excitons. Another way is that excitons formed in a wide host are transferred to any lower bandgap guests by long-range Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) for singlet excitons or shorter-range Dexter energy transfer (DET) for triplet excitons. In addition to FRET/DET, excitons in the host can also migrate to the guests by diffusion, where singlet excitons and triplet excitons have different diffusion lengths, respectively about 3 and 100 nm. By these energy regulation methods, different emissive centers can generate balanced emissions, avoiding excessive excitons or carrier distribution in some specific centers (e.g., R or O). In the mixed SEL-WOLEDs, there are primarily three ways in which excitons transfer energy from the host to guests: complete energy transfer (Type 1), partial energy transfer (Type 2), and no energy transfer (Type 3). Notably, among different multi-color emissive centers, there is always a cascade energy transfer from high-energy centers to low-energy ones (B-G-R or B-O).

In Type 1 (complete energy transfer), excitons formed in a wide-bandgap host (n-type, p-type, or bipolar) are completely transferred to guests (R/G/B or B/O) via FRET or DET. In this case, the white light is entirely contributed by guests. As shown in Figure 3a, when R/G/B phosphors serve as guests, singlet excitons/triplet excitons in the host are completely transferred to guests (R/G/B or B/O). 

In Type 2 (partial energy transfer), excitons in the host can also migrate to the guests by diffusion, where singlet excitons and triplet excitons have different diffusion lengths, respectively about 3 and 100 nm. In this case, the white light is entirely contributed by guests. As shown in Figure 3a, when R/G/B phosphors serve as guests, singlet excitons/triplet excitons in the host are partially transferred to guests through FRET/DET. R/G/B phosphors can emit balanced tri-color light, which depends on the regulation of dopant concentrations. The complete energy-transfer mechanism is observed in many different types of WOLEDs, e.g., full fluorescent WOLEDs, full phosphorescent WOLEDs, full TADF WOLEDs, and fluorescent/phosphorescent (F/P) hybrid-based WOLEDs. In 1994, Kido et al. reported the first fluorescent SEL-WOLED, made by co-doping three fluorescent dyes [DCM 1 (O), coumarin 6 (G), and 1,1,4,4-tetraphenyl-1,3-buta diene (B)] into a host poly(N-vinylcarbazole) (PVK). Excitons produced on PVK were transferred to guests, enabling guests to receive energy to be excited for white light. The EL spectrum was tuned by altering B/G/O concentrations, thereby realizing an EL spectrum that can cover...
the visible light range (Figure 3b). Owing to the low excitons utilization of fluorescence emitters, WOLED achieved a largest power efficiency (PE) of only 0.83 lm/W. To improve the performance of SEL-WOLEDs, phosphors or TADFs are selected as dopants, owing to their 100% exciton utilization. For instance, Wang et al.40 used 6.5 wt% phosphor Ir(4,6-dFppy)2(pic) (FIrpic, B) and 0.75 wt% phosphor bis(2-(9,9-diethyl-9H-fluoren-2-yl)-1-phenyl-1H-benzimidazol-N,C3)iridium acetylacetonate (fbi)2Ir(acac) (O) co-doped into P-type N,N′-dicarbazole-3,5-benzene (mCP) to fabricate a WOLED (Figure 3c). Excitons on mCP were completely transferred to FIrpic by FRET/DET, while (fbi)2Ir(acac) directly employed trapped holes and injected electrons to generate yellow light. Through the two parallel energy channels, B/O emissive centers were co-excited to generate white light. Their WOLED achieved the highest PE and EQE of 42.5 lm/W and 19.3%, respectively (Figure 3c). Additionally, the CIE coordinates of the WOLED were stable, shifting only slightly from (0.33, 0.39) at 1000 cd/
Figure 4. Energy-transfer regulation in stacked WOLEDs. WOLEDs without an interlayer: (a) Schematic diagram of emission and energy-transfer mechanism. (b) EQE and PE versus luminance characteristics of the optimized device. Reprinted with permission from ref 49. Copyright 2013 Wiley-VCH. (c) CE and PE versus luminance characteristics of B-O and O-B stacked WOLEDs. Inset: EQE versus luminance characteristics. Reprinted with permission from ref 52. Copyright 2019 The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Chinese Chemical Society. WOLEDs with an interlayer: (d) Schematic diagram of emission and energy-transfer mechanism. (e) EQE and power efficiency versus the current density of the WOLED are shown in the inset. (f) Normalized EL spectra of WOLED emission at various current densities. Reprinted with permission from ref 62. Copyright 2006 Nature Publishing Group. Tandem WOLEDs: (g) Schematic diagram of emission and energy-transfer mechanism. (h) Normalized EL spectra of the tandem device at different applied voltages. Reprinted with permission from ref 74. Copyright 2005 AIP Publishing. (i) Forward-viewing external and luminous power efficiencies of 1-, 2-, and 3-SOLEDs as functions of J. Reprinted with permission from ref 75. Copyright 2006 Wiley-VCH.
m² to (0.30, 0.37) at a high brightness of 10 000 cd/m² (see the inset in Figure 3c). Similarly, in 2010, Hou et al.44 built a SEL-WOLED by co-doping tri-color phosphors [Flrpic (B), tris(2,4-tolylphenylpyridine)iridium (Ir(mppy)3) (G), and bis(1-phenylisoquinoline)(acetylacetonato)iridium (III) (Ir(piq)2acac) (R)] into a mixed host consisting of 9,9-di-(4-(3,6-di-tert-butylcarbazol-9-yl)phenyl)fluorene (TBCPF) and 1,3-bis[(4-tert-butylphenyl)-1,3,4-oxiadiazolyl]phenylene (OXD-7) by a solution process, obtaining a maximum PE of 15.6 lm/W. In their WOLED, the host matrix transferred energy to Flrpic and Ir(mppy)3 for blue/green emission by FRET; meanwhile, part of the green light and all of the red light were created by directly trapping carriers. Of course, sequential cascade energy transfer (B-G-R) also remained. A further approach is to use a TADF as guest because of its exciton utilization of 100% in theory. In 2021, Zhang et al.45 reported a WOLED with the highest EQE of 20.2%, where the EML was formed by a blue TADF (2,3,4,5,6-pentakis(3,6-dimethyl-9H-carbazol-9-yl)benzonitrile, SPCzCN) and a yellow TADF (1,4-dicyano-2,3,5,6-tetrakis(3,6-diphenylcarbazol-9-yl)benzene, 4CzTPN-Ph) co-incorporated into a mCP host. Singlet/triplet excitons generated on the host were completely transferred to the blue TADF by FRET/DET. Then, the blue TADF emitter transferred energy to the yellow one by a cascade energy transfer. Finally, B/O emissive centers were co-excited to emit white light.

In Type 2 (partial energy transfer), a blue fluorescent or TADF emitter served as the host. The blue emitter emits blue light and transfers partial energy to guests (R/G or O). Here, white light originated from the co-excitation of the host and guests. As shown in Figure 3d, when the host is a blue fluorescence emitter and guests are R/G/B phosphorescence emitters, singlet excitons on the blue host emit blue light, and triplet states can be transferred to guests by DET. Notably, 75% of triplet excitons in traditional fluorescence can be put to good use, which is beneficial for enhancing excitons utilization. However, if the triplet state of the fluorophore is lower than that of the phosphor, part of the singlet excitons is used to emit blue light, while another part of singlet excitons transfers energy to guests by FRET. In this case, triplet excitons of phosphors can be quenched by the fluorophore, which is not unexpected.43 Thence, the selection of hosts and guests is particularly critical, as we described above. Besides, in the doping system, if the dopant concentration is very low, it will be difficult to transfer excitons in the host to the dopants, producing only blue fluorescence by the host. In contrast, when the dopant concentration is too high, most of the excitons in the host are transferred to the dopant molecules, meaning blue emission is extremely weak and R/G or O emissions are too strong. Therefore, only when the doping concentration is appropriate, co-emission of the host and guests can be achieved for white light. In 2012, Ye et al.30 demonstrated a F/P hybrid SEL-WOLED, made by using a doping concentration regulation strategy, where the EML was formed by mixing blue fluorophore 2,8-di-[4-(diphenylamino)phenyl]dibenzothiophene-5,5-dioxide (DADBT) with orange phosphor tris(2-phenylquinoline)-iridium(III) (Ir(2-phenq)3). The researchers explored different doping concentrations (Ir(2-phenq): 0, 0.1, 0.2, 2, and 6 wt%). Under the condition of Ir(2-phenq) with 0.1 wt%, singlet states can be captured by the host to emit blue light; meanwhile, triplet ones can be transferred to the guest to emit orange light. Therefore, the host and guest achieve co-emission, hence generating a warm white light covering from 425 to 750 nm, as shown in Figure 3e. The WOLED delivered a maximum PE of 67.2 lm/W and EQE of 26.6% (Figure 3f). Besides, if the blue host is TADF, the TADF host emitter will produce blue emissions and simultaneously transfer energy to guests by FRET/DET. Compared to a blue fluorophore as the host, TADF as the host can reduce the waste of triplet excitons. In 2015, Liu et al.46 co-doped 0.1 wt% Ir(ppy)2acac and 0.3 wt% Ir(MDQ)2acac into a blue TADF host (CDBP:POT:T exciplex) to fabricate an EML, where the blue TADF host transferred energy to G/R phosphors dopants, making R/G/B co-excited. Owing to the high excitons utilization of host TADF, the WOLED achieved a largest EQE of 25.5% and PE of 84.1 lm/W. In 2018, Wang et al.47 used a blue TADF molecule (TRZ-CF) with bipolar charge-transfer properties and a yellow phosphorescent molecule (iridium(III) bis[4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]pyridinato-N,C2,PO-01) respectively as the host and the guest to form an EML and constructed a WOLED with the highest EQE of 20.3% and a low-efficiency roll-off.

In Type 3 (no energy transfer), a wide-bandgap material with carriers transporting capability served as the host—guest emitters (R/G/B or B/O) directly harvesting carriers to recombine and emit light, as shown in Figure 3g. The host plays a role in regulating the carriers distribution rather than transferring energy. Therefore, energy loss caused during the energy transfer from host to guests can be reduced.48 Based on this strategy, in 2004, D’Andrade et al.49 selected a red phosphor, iridium(III) bis(2-phenylquinolinyl-N,C2)acetylacetone (PQIr, 2 wt%), and a green phosphor, (Ir(ppy)3, 0.5 wt%), as well as a blue phosphor, bis(4’,6’-difluorophenylpyridinato)tetrakis(1-pyrazolyl)borate (Flr6, 20 wt%), to co-dope into a wide-bandgap p-bis(triphenylsilyl)benzene (UGH2) to prepare a SEL-WOLED. Owing to the relatively wide bandgap of UGH2, energy transfer from the host to phosphors was eliminated. In WOLEDs, blue guest Flr6 was excited to emit blue light by trapping the holes and electrons. Then, the blue phosphor transferred energy to the green and red ones, enabling green and red phosphors to be excited for green and red emissions, as shown in Figure 3h. Profiting from the elimination of host—guest energy transfer, energy loss was decreased. As a consequence, the SEL-WOLED showed a maximum EQE of 12.0% and PE of 26.42 lm/W, as shown in Figure 3i. Yet, with the current density increasing, both CIE coordinates and CRI still happened to blue-shift (inset in Figure 3i) as a result of energy transfer between guest emitters.

As we analyzed above, in SEL-WOLEDs emitters with mixed R/G/B or B/O, a careful and precise manipulation of the doping concentrations is required for white emission. However, an especially low doping concentration (generally <1 wt%)47,48 is extremely difficult to control during the fabrication process. Furthermore, inevitably, multi-color emitters are directly connected, giving rise to excitons quenching. In response to this problem, some device structures were designed and proposed. Typically, multi-color emitters were separated into EMLs and vertically stacked in some order (i.e., R-G-B or B-G-R) to construct stacked WOLEDs. In stacking structures, multi-color emissive centers can have an effective separation, which permits flexible manipulation of every EML and precise control of the excitons’ or carriers’ distribution in different EMLs.

For stacked WOLEDs, the co-excitation mechanism of multi-color emissive centers includes primarily two processes: as shown in Figure 4a, (1) electrons and holes are injected in hosts and then respectively transferred to guests. The guests capture carriers to radiatively recombine for light. (2) Excitons created...
in the high-bandgap emitter are sequentially transferred to low-bandgap emitters, forming cascade energy transfer (e.g., B-G-R or B-O). In 2014, Sun et al. employed a mixed host with bipolar transport ability to fabricate a fluorescent blue EML, 4,4,4-tris(N-carbazolyl)triphenylamine (TCTA):1,3,5-tri(m-phenyl-3-ylphenyl)benzene (TmPyPB):N,N′-di-1-naphthalenyl-N,N′-diphenyl-[1,1′:4′,4′,1″:4″:4‴′-terphenyl]-4,4‴′-diamine (4P-NPD). Then, Ir(MDQ)2(acac) (G) and Ir(ppy)2(acac) (R) were respectively incorporated into the anode to TCTA to form green and red EMLs. The three-primary-color emitters were arranged with a R-G-B sequence from the anode to the cathode to prepare a stacked WOLED. In this WOLED, the main exciton generation region was located at the blue EML. The triplet excitons in TmPyPB were sequentially diffused into the green and red guests by DET, building a cascade energy-transfer channel. Simultaneously, the red and green guests also generated excitons by directly trapping charges for the green and red emissions, owing to their matched energy levels with neighboring functional layers. Benefiting from the bipolar transport properties of the mixed host for blue EML and low-concentration doping of guests, the intrinsically mutual excitons quenching between fluorescent and phosphorescent emitters was effectively restrained. Finally, the WOLED achieved a maximum EQE of 19.0% and 41.7 lm/W, respectively (Figure 4b). Besides, as shown in the inset of Figure 4b, the EL spectrum was rather stable at different luminance, attributed to balancing excitons generation by rationally arranging the stacking structure and the selection and match of hosts and guests. In 2015, Zhu et al. directly chose a bipolar material, 2,6-bis(3-(carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)pyridine (26DCzPPy), as the host of blue phosphor Flrpic (20 wt%) for a blue EML, while red Ir(MDQ)2(acac) (8 wt%) and green Ir(ppy)2(acac) (6 wt%) were blended into TCTA to form red and green EMLs, respectively. Then, a stacked WOLED in a sequence of R-G-B was prepared, which manifested the highest PE of 46.6 lm/W and EQE of 21.2%. In this work, the CBP interlayer was selected as an interlayer that was sandwiched between a blue TADF emitter and a red phosphorescent emitter by a long diffusion length process (about 100 nm), effectively avoiding triplet excitons’ non-radiative recombination. Therefore, in this unique structure, singlet and triplet excitons were completely captured by independent channels, enhancing excitons utilization. As a result, the WOLED achieved a peak EQE of 18.7% and PE of 37.6 lm/W, as shown in Figure 4e. Figure 4f exhibits the EL spectrum of the WOLED at different current densities and corresponding real images of devices. Owing to the required high energy for excitation of blue phosphor, blue emission became stronger with increasing applied voltage. Hence, the CIE coordinates also exhibited an apparent shift. In 2014, the same researchers used a similar strategy to fabricate a tri-color (R/G/B) stacked WOLED with the largest PE of 40.7 lm/W and EQE of 21.2%. In this work, the CBP interlayer was inserted between the green (CBP: 3 wt% Ir(ppy)3) and red (CBP: 6 wt% Ir(MDQ)2(acac)) regions, decreasing exciton quenching caused by energy transfer from Ir(ppy)3 (T1 = 2.4 eV) to Ir(MDQ)2(acac) (T1 = 2.0 eV). In 2019, Chen et al. selected mCP as an interlayer that was sandwiched between a sky-blue TADF emitter and a red fluorescence emitter. Owing to its high T1 (2.9 eV), mCP effectively suppressed the DET of triplet excitons from blue TADF to red fluorescence, preventing triplet excitons from quenching. Consequently, the WOLED with an interlayer of mCP exhibited a higher EQE of 23% compared to that without any interlayer (EQE = 11%).

The introduction of kinds of interlayers above can block part of excitons diffusion and suppress some energy-transfer processes, serving to improve the color stability of WOLEDs, but such structures may cause a decrease in device efficiency. Accordingly, it is difficult to get a trade-off between device efficiency and color stability.

In order to ensure that efficiency is not affected or is even improved while regulating energy-transfer behavior and blocking excitons diffusion, instead of separating the different emitters into different EMLs, interconnecting layers (ICLs), composed of N- and P-type semiconductors, can be used to connect different complete EL units for WOLEDs, called tandem WOLEDs. As shown in Figure 4g, the EL units can be not only complementary colors (B-O) or three primary colors (R-G-B) but also a white light unit for WOLEDs. The goal of adopting the tandem concept is to improve the efficiency, operational stability, and color stability of WOLEDs. Instead of achieving at most one photon per unit carrier, thanks to ICLs with excellent carrier generation ability, tandem devices can generate multiple photons per unit charge.

In tandem WOLEDs, it is...
significant to design and optimize ICLs. Benefiting from the exploitation and optimization of different ICLs, some tandem WOLEDs with high efficiency and color stability have been successfully achieved.\textsuperscript{69–73} The first tandem WOLED was based on R/G/B fluorescent emitters, where ICL was BCP:Li/V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}.\textsuperscript{74} With the voltage increasing, the tandem WOLED showed a stable white light (Figure 4b), with CIE coordinates from (0.35, 0.32) at 18 V to (0.36, 0.36) at 50 V. The obtained maximum brightness and efficiency were basically equal to the sum of the EL units, ascribed to the strong carrier generation role of the ICL. In 2006, Kanno et al.\textsuperscript{75} used an ICL (Bphen:Li/MoO\textsubscript{3}) to fabricate tandem WOLEDs based on a white EL unit, with two or three EL units (corresponding to a 2-SOLED or 3-SOLED). As shown in Figure 4i, as the number of white EL units increases, the efficiencies of the corresponding WOLED also increase.

\section*{ENERGY REGULATION IN WQLEDs}

WQLEDs are realized by co-exciting R/G/B and B/O QDs. Different from WOLEDs, QDs have no complex intramolecular energy-transfer behavior and host–guest doping system. Therefore, in WQLEDs, the energy regulation strategies mainly aim at energy-transfer behavior among multi-color QDs' emission centers. It mainly includes controlling QDs' mixing ratios for SEL-WQLEDs and optimizing device structures for stacked WQLEDs, e.g., similar to WOLEDs, the introduction of an interlayer or ICLs between stacking EMLs.

In white quantum-dots light-emitting diodes, the energy regulation strategies mainly aim at energy-transfer behavior among multi-color quantum dots’ emission centers.

In R/G/B mixed SEL-WQLEDs, without a host–guest matrix, R/G/B and B/O QDs are mutually contacted, as shown in Figure 5a. R/G/B QDs emit light by capturing electrons and holes. Excitons formed in a wide-bandgap emissive center can transfer to any low-bandgap emissive centers by FRET, i.e., B to G, B to R, and G to R. This will lead to excitons' energy loss and serious excitons quenching, so R/G/B mixed SEL-WQLEDs always exhibit poor efficiency and an unstable emission spectrum. To tune the emission ratio of different emissive centers and regulate energy transfer among different QDs, it is necessary to strictly manipulate the R/G/B mixing ratios. Generally, the doping ratio of blue QDs is the largest, ensuring that the blue QDs retain sufficient blue light after the energy transfer to G/R QDs. In 2007, Bulović et al.\textsuperscript{76} demonstrated the first R/G/B QDs mixed WQLED (R:G:B = 1:2:10), with the highest EQE of only 0.36% and CIE coordinates located at (0.35, 0.41). With the development of QDs synthesis technologies, QDs with high PLQY and matched carrier injection interfaces were fabricated, facilitating the improvement of WQLEDs. In 2015, Lee et al.\textsuperscript{77} blended R (ZnS/CdSeS)/G (ZnS/CdZnSeS)/B (ZnS/CdZnS) QDs to form an EML for a WQLED. By optimizing mixing ratios, the realized WQLED showed the largest EQE of 10.9% and CE of 21.8 cd/A. However, this WQLED showed unstable emission, especially a low driving voltage range. As shown in Figure 5b, at a low bias of 4 V, only a red EL was observed (inset in Figure 5b), attributed to red QD with the smallest band gap among R/G/B ones. Upon increasing driving voltage, the EL intensity of green and red QDs exhibited respectively a slight and sharp reduction, as shown in Figure 5c. Consequently, the CIE color coordinates shifted progressively from (0.453, 0.333) at 5 V to (0.222, 0.293) at 9 V (inset of Figure 5c), which was primarily attributed to the electric-field-assisted inter-QD charge migration and unavoidable excitons energy transfer. The same problem also appeared in other R/G/B mixed SEL-WQLEDs.\textsuperscript{78}

Mixed SEL-WQLED devices, owing to inevitable charge/exciton energy transfer among R/G/B QDs, suffered from unstable emission color and low EQE. Even if R/G/B QD EMLs are stacked, R/G/B QDs still can contact each other at the interfaces. Therefore, the energy transfer and excitons quenching still occur.\textsuperscript{79} For these issues, like for WOLEDs, a single interlayer (buffer) with carrier transport capacity or even ICLs with strong carrier generation capability are also introduced into WQLEDs.

In WQLEDs with an interlayer, the interlayer can separate the stacked QD EMLs in space, as shown in Figure 5d, so it helps alleviate the excitons energy transfer and block charge/excitons migration, e.g., blocking excitons migration from blue QDs to red QDs. Besides, the single interlayer also helps to balance the carrier transport in WQLEDs. In 2018, Lee et al.\textsuperscript{80} reported a R/G/B stacked WQLED, formed by adopting an ultrathin layer of ZnO NPs as an interlayer. The WQLED got the maximum CE of 15.9 cd/A and EQE of 6.8%. However, at low driving voltages (@\(\leq 5\) V), major emissions stemmed from the red and green QDs, and blue emission was extremely weak, which was due to the diffusion of excitons from B to G and subsequent G to R through FRET, as exhibited in Figure 5e. In high-voltage regions (@\(\geq 7\) V), sufficient holes were injected in blue QDs, and holes in blue QDs can be transferred to adjacent green and further to red QDs. Hence, excitons were formed in entire QD EMLs, which yielded three primary color emissions and relatively stable CIE coordinates (@\(\geq 7\) V), as displayed in Figure 5f. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{81} proposed to introduce ZnMgO/MgO nanocrystals (NCs) to serve as an interlayer in the WQLEDs, because of their lower defect density and higher conduction band minimum (CBM) compared to ZnO nanoparticles (NPs). Thus, excitons quenching was reduced, and the optimal WQLED gave the highest CE of 24.6 cd/A and PE of 25.8 lm/W. Besides inorganic materials, organic molecule films can also be utilized as an interlayer. For instance, Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{82} adopted an organic pre-TBD as an interlayer to gain a WQLED with the biggest EQE of 9.6%. As we mentioned, an interlayer only relieved part of the energy-transfer behavior, rather than completely suppressing FRET and blocking charge migration among R/G/B QDs, so these WQLEDs with an interlayer still showed unstable emission.

To completely suppress energy transfer and charge migration between different bandgap QDs layers, ICLs are incorporated between QDs EMLs, as shown in Figure 5g. In the work reported by Zhang et al. in 2018,\textsuperscript{83} the authors simultaneously built three groups of WQLEDs: (1) a mixed SEL-WQLED with a structure of ITO/PEDOT:PPS/PPV/(RGB) mixing QDs/ZnMgO/Al; (2) two double-unit tandem WQLEDs, where TW1 [O (G:R)-B] was ITO/PEDOT:PPS/PPV/(G/R)-mixed QDs/ICL/PPV/B-QDs/ZnMgO/Al and TW2 [B-O (G:R)] was ITO/PEDOT:PPS/PPV/B-QDs/ICL/PPV/(G/R)-mixed QDs/ZnMgO/Al; (3) a three-unit tandem WQLED with ITO/PEDOT:PPS/PPV/B-QDs/ICL/PPV/G-QDs/ICL/PPV/R-QDs/ZnMgO/Al. ICLs of all tandem WQLEDs were ZnMgO/Al/HAT-CN/MgO\textsubscript{2}, as shown in Figure 5h. The results showed that the EQE of the tandem WQLED with three EL units (R-G-

\url{https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.2c00610}
Figure 5. Energy-transfer regulation in WQLEDs. Mixed SEL-WQLEDs: (a) Schematic diagram of emission and energy-transfer mechanism, (b) voltage dependence as recorded, and (c) normalized EL spectral evolutions of WQLEDs with the variation of CIE color coordinates (inset). Stacked WQLED with an interlayer: (d) Schematic diagram of emission and energy-transfer mechanism, (e) EL spectra of WQLEDs and (f) CIE color coordinates at different voltages. Reprinted with permission from ref 80. Copyright 2018 The Royal Society of Chemistry. Tandem WQLEDs: (g) Schematic diagram of emission and energy-transfer mechanism, (h) schematic device structure of mixed single
Figure 5. continued

WQLEDs, two-unit tandem WQLEDs, and three-unit tandem WQLEDs, (i) CIE coordinates of two-unit WQLEDs at different driving voltages, and (j) CIE coordinates of R-, G-, and B-QLEDs and three-unit WQLEDs. Reprinted with permission from ref 83. Copyright 2018 Wiley-VCH.

B) was higher than that of the tandem WQLED with two EL units (B-O), and both were higher than that of SEL-mixed WQLEDs. In terms of color stability, as shown in Figure S1, for both tandem WQLEDs with two EL units, the CIE coordinates exhibited a marked shift with driving voltage varying. Yet, CIE coordinates of one with three EL units displayed extreme stability (Figure S1). The discrepancies in efficiency and color stability are mainly due to excitons energy transfer and charge migration in the mixed-color EMLs. Following the route of introducing ICLs, in 2018, Jiang et al.83 designed ZnO/PMA as the ICL to achieve a WQLED with the biggest EQE of 27.3%. Cao et al.85 adopted PVK/PEDOT:PSS/ZnO/PEIE as an ICL to fabricate a WQLED with a higher EQE of 28%.

ENERGY REGULATION IN WPeLEDs

WQLEDs and WQLEDs have experienced decades of development and accumulated many effective energy regulation strategies, which facilitated progress in the development of white light sources and exerted a huge effect on exploiting other emerging luminescent materials and WLEDs, i.e., WPeLEDs. With the aid of energy regulation approaches as we mentioned above, WPeLEDs have made significant research progress in recent years. On one hand, following the technology route of WQLEDs and WQLEDs, mixed SEL-WPeLEDs and stacked-WPeLEDs have been successfully achieved. On the other hand, some single-emitter WPeLEDs with broad-spectrum white light have been developed, derived from self-trapped excitons (STEs) based on perovskites or elements doped ones.

Some single-emitter white perovskite light-emitting diodes with broad-spectrum white light have been developed, derived from self-trapped excitons based on perovskites or element-doped perovskites.

In R/G/B mixed SEL-WPeLEDs, Yu et al.86 mixed halide perovskites (CsI, PbBr2, and BHCl) to form a perovskite EML film by spin-coating. By optimizing the doping ratios of each component for regulation of energy distribution, a pure white PeLED acquired a peak EQE of 0.008%. In a stacked structure, Mao et al.88 adopted BICO/PolY:TPD as an ICL to suppress energy transfer between PAG1PbI3, and CsPb(Br,Cl)3. The fabricated tandem WPeLED successfully emitted white light with a CIE of (0.32, 0.32) and achieved a peak radiance of 0.17 W/(Sr·m²). These WPeLEDs exhibited low performance, largely caused by the poor photoelectric properties of perovskites and incompatible solvent during solution processing. Besides, hybrid halide perovskites are prone to ion migration under electric field driving, resulting in poor EL performance of R/G/B mixed SEL-stacked-WPeLEDs. Hence, for improving mixed SEL-WPeLEDs or stacked-WPeLEDs, perovskites were often mixed with organic molecules to form hybrid WLEDs,17 where energy-transfer regulation was similar to that previously mentioned. For example, Liu et al.88 reported a perovskite/organic hybrid WLED with a peak EQE of 7.35%. An ultrathin phosphorescent interlayer was inserted between a p-type hole transport layer and an n-type electron transport layer, forming an organic p-i-n heterojunction unit. Profiting from the insertion of p-HTL, energy transfer between the perovskite layer and the organic phosphorescent interlayer was perfectly suppressed, thus generating white light.

In broad-spectrum WPeLEDs based on STEs, due to the soft lattice properties of perovskites, electrons and holes easily cause lattice distortion and can be trapped by the lattice, promoting the formation of STEs. As shown in Figure 6a, free excitons can transfer energy to STEs, enabling STEs to be excited and emit broad luminescence.89 Currently, among perovskites with STEs properties, broad-spectrum WPeLEDs were achieved successfully, primarily based on double perovskites (Cs2AgInCl690 and Cs3AgIn0.9Bi0.1Cl677), copper-based perovskites (CsCuI2.1/CsCuI315,92), and all-inorganic perovskites (CsPbI393). However, the poor carrier transport ability of STE materials limited the efficiency of STE-based WPeLEDs12,90–92 but their CRI were above 90. For improving carrier transport performance, Chen et al.84 introduced an organic additive, polyethylene glycol sorbian monoleate (Twee), into the perovskite solution of CsCuI2 and CsCuI3, and then built a WPeLED with a structure of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/perovskites/TmPyPB/LiF/Al (Figure 6b). Since the additive facilitated the holes injection and transport, this WPeLED exhibited an improved EQE of 3.1% (Figure 6c). CsPbI3 is a heterophase halide perovskite that easily suffers from phase transition from α-CsPbI3 to δ-CsPbI3, where δ-CsPbI3 has a broadband feature of STEs. Chen et al.83 adopted CsPbI3 as an EML to fabricate a PeLED and managed phase transition by controlling the annealing treatment time, making α/δ-CsPbI3 evenly distributed in the EML. As shown in Figure 6d, in the α/δ-CsPbI3 EML, owing to the strong carrier transport capability of α-CsPbI3, lots of electrons and holes were injected in α-CsPbI3 to form excitons for red emission. Meanwhile, owing to the matched energy level between α-CsPbI3 and δ-CsPbI3, carriers and excitons can also transfer to δ-CsPbI3 in a strong electric field, causing excitons to be formed in δ-CsPbI3 for broadband emission. As a result, the PeLED emitted a white light covering the visible light region (Figure 6e). In this work, the poor carrier transport performance of δ-CsPbI3, STE was overcome by the efficient energy transfer of dual phases, thereby boosting the performance of the PeLED. The PeLED achieved the largest EQE of 6.5% and the highest luminance of 12 200 cd/m² (Figure 6f).

Host–guest energy transfer is also applied in perovskites. Some special ions as guests, i.e., Mn2+,93,94 and rare earth (RE) ions,77 are doped into the perovskite host, which can form multiple emissive centers owing to the newly formed low energy levels, as shown in Figure 6g. Excitons produced on the perovskite host can emit the perovskite’s intrinsic emission; meanwhile, energy can be transferred to new emissive centers. Eventually, different emissive centers (B/G/R/O) are excited to generate white light. Inspired by the energy-transfer mechanism, Sun et al.97 used earth ions (Sm3+) to dope into CsPbCl3, NCs and formed new energy levels (R/O) belonging to Sm3+. Under the electric field, through energy transfer from host materials CsPbCl3 to Sm3+ and directly harvesting injected
charge carriers from the matrix by Sm$^{3+}$, CsPbCl$_3$ NCs and Sm$^{3+}$ respectively emitted blue light and green/orange/red light at the same time, generating white light. The device exhibited an extremely stable EL spectrum (Figure 6h), benefiting from a single-component emitter with stable and efficient energy transfer. The WPeLED achieved the best EQE of 1.2% (Figure 6i) with a CRI of 93.

### SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In this Focus Review, we analyzed the energy-transfer mechanisms that diffusely exist in various kinds of WLEDs, including (1) carriers/excitons energy transfer between host and guest, (2) excitons cascade energy transfer among multi-color emissive centers, and (3) energy transfer in STEs. Then, we discussed approaches to regulate these energy-transfer behaviors, i.e., the selection and co-location of host and guest materials, the optimization of the doping concentrations, and...
the introduction and design of interlayers or ICLs in stacked WLEDs. These approaches were widely applied in WOLEDs, WQLEDs, and WPeLEDs and enabled them to make great progress, promoting the development of lighting and display fields. Here, we summarized some research progress about WOLEDs, WQLEDs, and WPeLEDs, as shown in Table 1. In contrast to WOLEDs, WQLEDs, and WPeLEDs, mixed SEL- and stacked-WQLEDs cannot achieve ultrahigh EQE.54,67,73,105 For complex ICLs to completely suppress energy transfer, tandem WLEDs can obtain EQEs higher than 20%.30,40,103,104,44,63,64,98

Table 1. Summary of Representative WOLEDs, WQLEDs, and WPeLEDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WLEDs</th>
<th>PE (lm/W)</th>
<th>EQE (%)</th>
<th>CRI</th>
<th>CIE (x, y)</th>
<th>year and ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mixed SEL</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.33, 0.39)</td>
<td>2009^40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.41, 0.43)</td>
<td>2015^30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.38, 0.48)</td>
<td>2013^38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>72–76</td>
<td>(0.38, 0.42)</td>
<td>2015^44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>(0.36, 0.47)</td>
<td>2015^99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.48, 0.48)</td>
<td>2017^100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>(0.34, 0.36)</td>
<td>2019^01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.43, 0.47)</td>
<td>2019^02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.35, 0.40)</td>
<td>2020^03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stacked</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.43, 0.43)</td>
<td>2014^55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.35, 0.48)</td>
<td>2015^104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.34, 0.33)</td>
<td>2019^54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tandem</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.36, 0.50)</td>
<td>2014^73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>(0.46, 0.43)</td>
<td>2018^97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>(0.48, 0.43)</td>
<td>2019^54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>(0.49, 0.43)</td>
<td>2018^05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WQLEDs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed SEL</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>71–75</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2015^77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.29, 0.35)</td>
<td>2020^78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stacked</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.32, 0.33)</td>
<td>2018^80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2018^61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.34, 0.34)</td>
<td>2018^62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tandem</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.88</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(0.33, 0.34)</td>
<td>2018^63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>(0.40, 0.38)</td>
<td>2018^06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.92</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>(0.34, 0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPeLEDs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed SEL or a single emitter</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>2020^30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>(0.32, 0.32)</td>
<td>2018^91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>(0.33, 0.35)</td>
<td>2018^82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2020^12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2021^94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.35, 0.43)</td>
<td>2021^93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>(0.32, 0.31)</td>
<td>2020^07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tandem</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(0.32, 0.32)</td>
<td>2018^97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

both mixed single and stacked-WOLEDs, the EQE was improved from lower than 10% at an early stage of development to over 20%.30,40,103,104,44,63,64,98–102. Besides, by introducing complex ICLs to completely suppress energy transfer, tandem WLEDs could achieve ultrahigh EQE.54,67,73,105 For WQLEDs, mixed SEL- and stacked-WQLEDs cannot achieve high performance (EQE around 10%) due to energy loss in the energy-transfer process.77,78,80 By using ICLs to eliminate the energy transfer among R/G/B QDs, WQLEDs can obtain EQEs higher than 20%.54,67,73,105 By following energy regulation tactics used with WOLEDs and WQLEDs, emerging WPeLEDs also have successfully realized white emission on mixed SEL and stacked structures,12,28,70,92–94,97 but the performance of WPeLEDs suffers from the restriction of perovskites’ photoelectric and chemical properties, i.e., ions migration, solvent incompatibility, and poor carrier injection.

Considering their huge advantages and potential in energy savings, research status, and development progress, we propose some prospects for the development of WOLEDs, WQLEDs, and WPeLEDs, hoping that they can provide some inspiration for future research.

(1) WOLEDs have mature energy regulation approaches and technologies. Based on these effective strategies, the development of low-cost materials and preparation technology is a direction to strive for in the future, e.g., low-cost solution process technology.

(2) High-efficiency WQLEDs require complex structures to regulate energy, so it is a key point to design and develop new and efficient ICLs. Currently, different kinds of ICLs have been proposed and fabricated. However, WQLEDs always suffered from the limitation of these ICLs. On one hand, the compatibility of P-N is insufficient, which easily causes high interface defect density. On the other hand, the complicated processing where some films are evaporated and some are spin-coated can also affect performance.

(3) WPeLEDs have been developed by employing energy regulation methods used with WOLEDs and WQLEDs. Now, WPeLEDs are facing challenges as follows: (a) In stacked structure, there are grand technical challenges, e.g., incompatible solvents, severe interface defects, and complex preparation process. (b) In the single-EML mixed structure, suppression of ions migration is also a big challenge. Besides, in single-emitter-based WPeLEDs, especially STEs with white emission, poor carrier transport capability of STEs is the primary problem that needs to be solved. To do so, we propose, some conductive luminescent organic ligands can be introduced into perovskites,107 which is conducive to improving the carrier transport performance and the white light quality.

(4) Light extraction technique is an effective strategy to further enhance energy utilization, especially toward light loss caused by wave-guided modes and surface plasmon polariton (SPP) modes in WLEDs.108 Various light out-coupling techniques, such as micro-lens arrays and nanoimprint patterns, have emerged.109–111 In the future, exploitation of convenient, high-performance, and low-cost integrated technology for WLEDs is an important direction.

(5) Considering environmental protection and the harm of heavy metals to biological systems, new luminescent materials should also be continuously exploited, especially using environment-friendly luminescent materials (e.g., InP, ZnS) as the EMLs to develop WLEDs.114–116
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