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ABSTRACT: Quantum dots (QDs) are important frontier luminescent materials for future technology in flexible ultrahigh-definition display, optical information internet, and bioimaging due to their outstanding luminescence efficiency and high color purity. I–III–VI QDs and derivatives demonstrate characteristics of composition-dependent band gap, full visible light coverage, high efficiency, excellent stability, and nontoxicity, and hence are expected to be ideal candidates for environmentally friendly materials replacing traditional Cd and Pb-based QDs. In particular, their compositional flexibility is highly conducive to precise control energy band structure and microstructure. Furthermore, the quantum dot light-emitting diodes (QLEDs) exhibits superior prospects in monochrome display and white illumination. This review summarizes the recent progress of I–III–VI QDs and their application in LEDs. First, the luminescence mechanism is illustrated based on their electronic-band structural characteristics. Second, focusing on the latest progress of I–III–VI QDs, the preparation mechanism, and the regulation of photophysical properties, the corresponding application progress particularly in light-emitting diodes is summarized as well. Finally, we provide perspectives on the overall current status and challenges propose performance improvement strategies in promoting the evolution of QDs and QLEDs, indicating the future directions in this field.
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INTRODUCTION

Light-emitting diodes (LEDs) are the key equipment of human–computer interaction and human–thing interconnection. Future display technology not only has a trillion magnitude of economic scale but also reflects the national regional innovation ability and cutting-edge technology competitiveness. Especially ultrapure color luminescent quantum dots (QDs) are the key materials of high-definition (HD), flexible, and intelligent LEDs. Relatively mature QD systems for new-generation LEDs such as II–VI (e.g., CdSe, PbS), III–V (e.g., InP), and perovskite QDs, have tackled the bottlenecks of efficiency and lifetime.

I–III–VI QDs and derivatives exhibit excellent prospects in candidates for future high-quality electronic devices of solar cells, photocatalysis, biological imaging, and LEDs especially the superior semiconductor properties of direct band gap, tunable band structure, high photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY), and energy convergence in excited state, which are essential factors for QLEDs. This review first summarizes the precise structure design and luminescence mechanism and then generalizes the crucial factors of synthesis; afterward, optical performance and QLEDs are demonstrated in detail. Finally, perspectives on the overall current status, challenges, and improvement strategies in promoting the evolution of QDs and QLEDs are proposed, indicating the future directions in this field.

ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE CHARACTERISTICS

I–III–VI QDs generally exist as chalcopyrite, zinc blende, and wurtzite at room temperature (Figure 1a). Chalcopyrite is characterized by tetragonal crystal system, with monovalent and trivalent elements arranged in order, while elements (I/III) are interchangeable in zinc blende and wurtzite, therefore inevitably introducing defects in crystals. In addition, I–III–VI QDs can coexist in three phases at room temperature, which is one of the reasons why there are many morphologies in QDs colloidal solutions. In typical I–III–VI QDs, I, III, and VI are Ag+/Cu+, Ga3+/In3+, and S2-/Se2− respectively (such as Ag–In–S (AIS), Cu–Ga–S (CGS), Cu–Ga–Se (CGSe)). Introducing homologous or heterogeneous ions (Al3+, Zn2+, Sn4+, Fe3+)
can further derive the composition into quaternary/quinary QDs, the main compounds are Ag–In–Ga–S (AIGS), Ag–Cu–Ga–Se (ACGS), Zn–Cu–Ga–S (ZCGS), and Zn–Ag–In–Ga–S (ZAIAGS).

I–III–VI QDs and derivatives demonstrate flexible chemical composition and nonstoichiometric characteristics, meanwhile the defects can participate in the radiative recombination process. The electronic and structural characteristics of I–III–VI QDs and derivatives can be concluded as follows.

**Direct Band Gap.** The conduction band minimum (CBM) and valence band maximum (VBM) correspond to the same wave vector, which means that there could be no loss of energy, allowing for the PLQY up to 100%. According to the first-principles calculation for AGS, the CBM and VBM are both at Γ-point, which indicate the properties of direct-band semiconductor of I–III–VI QDs.

**Tunable Band Structure.** The chemical composition of I–III–VI QDs and derivatives exhibit considerable flexibility and substitutability, which own the merits of full coverage of visible light and finely customized electronic band structure. The larger ions of III (In3+ and V1 (S2−, Se2−) can reduce the band gap by tuning the electron band structure and keep the emission of QDs in the red and infrared ranges, which is advantageous for red LEDs, solar cells, photocatalysis, and bioimaging. For green and blue QDs, smaller ions (Ga3+, Al3+, Bi3+, and Zn2+, etc.) are necessary, especially Ga3+ and Al3+, which can tune the spectra of QDs to the green and blue ranges with narrower full-width at half maximum (FWHM), which expand the applications of LEDs. The Zn2+ has been reported to have strong ability in regulating emissive spectra; Tang et al. discovered the extended blueshift in Zn2+-doped AIGS QDs. The ZnS shell also brings shift spectra by limiting excited state energy. In addition, the defects formed by nonstoichiometric show adjustment in emissive spectra of QDs as well, serve as radiative recombination centers. For instance, the defects in CuAl2S4/ZnS QDs provided a recombination channel from I<sub>Cu</sub>/Al<sub>Cu</sub> to V<sub>Cu</sub>/V<sub>Al</sub> leading to the strong emission at 470 nm. Simultaneously, the V<sub>Cu</sub>-defect density would cause the energy level of defect (acceptor) shift, thus regulating the emission spectra.

The energy structure and emissive spectrum are affected by the size of QDs as well. Quantum confinement effect, which is approximately described by Brus’ effective-mass (EMM) model, described how the smaller particle size of QDs make the band gap larger. Takahisa et al. indicated that the PL of AGS/CGS could be blue-shifted from 500 to 400 nm as the particle size decreased from 6 to 2 nm. However, the smaller particle size (2–7 nm) than Bohr radius (4 nm for CuGaS<sub>2</sub>, 5.5 nm for AgInS<sub>2</sub>), making it easier for I–III–VI QDs to split into sublevels near the CB and VB, which tends to result in asymmetric PL broadening and higher defect state density. The tunable emission of I–III–VI QDs also exhibits advantages in terms of broad-emission spectra. The multiple recombination centers can be adjusted by the split sublevels and defect-mediated levels, which contributes to white-emission QDs and single-QDs WLEDs.

**Energy Convergence of the Excited State.** Because of the flexible chemical composition and defect-related exciton recombination, the emission purity is inevitably severely limited. By precisely designing the structure, the luminescence efficiency and FWHM of the QDs can be improved effectively. Although there is no clear study on the intrinsic mechanism in spectral narrowing, reasonable speculations can be drawn as follows: adjusting the defect band level close to the CBM and VBM and making QDs more tolerant of defect. For example, doping and alloying of Ga3+ and Zn2+ can effectively fill the defect (V<sub>1s</sub>/In<sub>Ag</sub>) to eliminate donor/acceptor radiative recombination associated with smaller band gap (V<sub>1s</sub>/In<sub>Ag</sub> to V<sub>Ag</sub>/VBM).

### LUMINESCENCE MECHANISM

Based on the electronic-structure characteristics of I–III–VI QDs and derivatives, the luminescence mechanism should be probed in depth. The band structure of I–III–VI QDs and derivatives are demonstrated in Figure 1b, the I/VI-s and III-p orbital form the CB, while I-d (contribution of s and p are usually ignored) and VI-p orbital constitute the VB. For instance of AGS and CGS, the CBM mainly depends on the hybridization of Ag/Cu s-orbital with Ga p-orbital, while the VBM is dominated by the hybridization of the Ag/Cu d-orbital with the S p-orbital. The band gap of AGS is larger than that of CGS, and the distribution pattern of the CB is more concentrated, which can result from the difference of d-state distribution between Ag and Cu in density of states (DOS). Notably, the hybridization of Cu-compounds at the top of VB

---

**Figure 1.** (a) Lattice structure of I–III–VI QDs (chalcopyrite, zinc blende, wurtzite). (b) Electronic band structure of I–III–VI QDs and different paths of recombination or quenching, the tail in the spectra originate from the energy level of donor, acceptor and defects. (c) Schematic diagram of radiative recombination (exciton and double-charge-trap-state recombination) and nonradiative recombination (single- and two-center nonradiative recombination). Adapted with permission from ref 28. Copyright 2022 Royal Society of Chemistry.
defects, stemming from the recombination between excited electrons trapped by donor and holes trapped by acceptor (step 3 in Figure 1b). The donor–acceptor pair (DAP) recombination, as the main exciton recombination mode of I−III–VI QDs, should not be ignored (step 3). Most scientists support that the DAP emission of I−III–VI QDs originate from their inherent defects, stemming from the recombination between excited electrons trapped by donor and holes trapped by acceptor respectively (step 3 in Figure 1b). One of the salient features of DAP emission is the excitation power density dependence of the PL intensity. The donor–acceptor pairs may further reduce the defect formation energy. Hence, precisely regulate defects to suppress the AR and trap state is an urgent strategy.

Table 1. Synthetic Methods and Optical Performance of I−III–VI QDs and Derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QDs</th>
<th>precursors, ligands, solvents</th>
<th>methods</th>
<th>T [°C]</th>
<th>emission [nm]</th>
<th>PLQY [%]</th>
<th>ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIGS/ZnS</td>
<td>CuI, In(acac)₃, Ga(acac)₅, Zn(acac)₂, Zn(St)₃, ODE, OTT, OA</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>230−240</td>
<td>479−578</td>
<td>20−85</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS</td>
<td>AgNO₃, In(acac)₅, Ga(acac)₅, S, DDT, OAm, ODE, HPA, TDPA, ODPA</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGS</td>
<td>AgNO₃, Ga(acac)₅, Zn(St)₃, S, DDT, OAm</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>470−530</td>
<td>2−16.7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS/ZnS</td>
<td>AgI, In(acac)₅, S, ZnCl₂, Zn(acac)₂, Zn(St)₃, ODE, DDT, OAm</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>240−250</td>
<td>450−570</td>
<td>58−69</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS/GaS</td>
<td>AgI, In(acac)₅, Ga(DTDC)₂, Zn(acac)₂, DMTU, OAm, TBP</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>150−280</td>
<td>498−601</td>
<td>28−56</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS</td>
<td>AgI, In(acac)₅, Ga(DTDC)₂, Zn(acac)₂, DMTU, OAm, MX₃</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>150−280</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS/GaS₉</td>
<td>AgI, In(acac)₅, Ga(acac)₂, DMTU, OAm</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>30−130, 280</td>
<td>530−660</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS</td>
<td>AgI, In(acac)₅, Ga(DTDC)₂, Zn(acac)₂, DMTU, OAm</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>535−543</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS/GaS₉</td>
<td>AgI, In(acac)₅, Ga(acac)₂, DMTU, OAm, TOP</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>140−280</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAlGS</td>
<td>AgI, InGa₂₋₅₃₋ₓSₓ, Ga(acac)₅, Zn(acac)₂, ODE, OAm, HU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>190, 220, 250</td>
<td>515−716</td>
<td>14.3−48.3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlIn₉Ga₈₋₅₋ₓSₓ/GaS₉</td>
<td>InCl₃, In(DTDC)₂, Ga(DTDC)₂, Ag(OAc)₂, OAm</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>200−230, 280</td>
<td>499−543</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZCGS: Mn</td>
<td>CuI, GaI, DDT, OAm, S, ODE, Mn(acac)₂</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>75−78</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZCGS:Se</td>
<td>CuI, GaI, DDT, OAm, S, ODE, Mn(acac)₂</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>240−260</td>
<td>485−630</td>
<td>73−85</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZCGS</td>
<td>CuI, GaI, DDT, S, ZnCl₂, Zn(acac)₂, Zn(St)₃, OAm, ODE, OA</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>240−250</td>
<td>471−486</td>
<td>78−83</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS/GaS</td>
<td>AgI, In(acac)₅, Te, ODE, Ga(acac)₂, S, OAm, TOP</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>200, 260</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS</td>
<td>AgI, In(acac)₅, Te, ODE, Ga(acac)₂, S, OAm, TOP</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>150, 300</td>
<td>500−610</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS:Se</td>
<td>AgI, In(acac)₅, Ga(acac)₂, Te, S, OAm, DDT</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>580−790</td>
<td>15−50</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS:ZnSe</td>
<td>CuI, Ga(acac)₂, Se, DDT, ODE, OAm, ZnI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>485−630</td>
<td>77.73</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGS</td>
<td>AgI, CuI, Ga(acac)₂, Se, ODE, DDT, ZnI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>510−620</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS/ZnS</td>
<td>AgI, Ga(acac)₂, S, OAm, DDT, Zn(St)₃</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>460−671</td>
<td>2.5−32.3</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS/ZnS</td>
<td>AgI, In(OAc)₅, In(OAc)₅, Zn(OAc)₂, DDT, OAm, DMTU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>140, 150</td>
<td>643−745</td>
<td>28−59</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“1-Octanethiol (OTT), 1,1-dodecanethiol (DDT), 1-ocdecane (ODE), oleic acid (OA), oleylamine (OAm), N-hexylphosphonic acid (HPA), 1-tetradecylyphosphonic acid (TDPA), octadecylphosphonic acid (ODPA), N,N'-dimethylthiourea (DMTU), tri-n-butylphosphine (TBP), tri-n-octyl phosphine (TOP), trioctylamine (TOA), hexadecanethiol (HDT), hexadecylamine (HDA), diethylidithiocarbamate (DDTC), diphenylphosphine (DPP).”

is easier to split into multiple sub-bands, which is the reason for the wider FWHM of CGS QDs than AGS ones. Simultaneously, Ga d-state would not split into substates under the action of surrounding crystal field like Cu d-state, making sure that the emission spectrum does not become wider. In conclusion, a proper design of direct band gap and adjustment of electronic band structure may be a promising direction for high-efficiency I−III–VI QDs and derivatives.

The ideal luminescence process usually consists of the following steps (instance for AlInGa₉S₉ QDs in Figure 1b). An electron is excited to CB by absorbing a high-energy photon, leaving a hole in the VB (step 1), and the excited electron relaxes to the band-edge to form an exciton with a hole. The exciton releases the photon by radiative recombination (step 2).

The donor–acceptor pair (DAP) recombination, as the main exciton recombination mode of I−III–VI QDs, should not be ignored (step 3). Most scientists support that the DAP emission of I−III–VI QDs originate from their inherent defects, stemming from the recombination between excited electrons trapped by donor and holes trapped by acceptor respectively (step 3 in Figure 1b). One of the salient features of DAP emission is the excitation power density dependence of the PL intensity. The donor–acceptor pairs may further reduce the defect formation energy. Hence, precisely regulate defects to suppress the AR and trap state is an urgent strategy.

Bartłomiej et al. promoted two competitive recombination mechanisms to describe the influence of defects on recombination or quenching excitors in I−III–VI QDs, which is demonstrated in Figure 1c. The two pathways for electron in the QDs are as follow: one is P1, the DAP emission mediated by the double charged trap state. Excitons get through the process of generating, being trapped, and radiatively recombinating with the assistance of defects and the energy transferred to defect level reactivates, which is advantageous for improving the photoelectronic performance of high-defect-density I−III–VI QDs. Another is P2, the nonradiative (NR) process where carriers are competitively captured and blocked by NR centers, which mainly consist of surface-related defects, and coexist with intrinsic defects, leading to multiple paths of AR and trapping (the single NR-center and two NR-center). These models accurately describe the radiative recombination and nonradiative recombination approaches of I−III–VI QDs, and

Besides, thermodynamic quenching and nonradiative recombination always occurs under the effect of defects in crystal and surface, which manifests as defect trapping and Auger recombination (AR) (step 4). AR exacerbates energy loss, making the conductivity, optical properties, and radiation recombination rate worse. In I−III–VI QDs, the defect (V_p, V_II°, III° and 2 V_+° + III°) is the primary carrier of AR, the substitution of I and III cation disorder and lower defect formation energy increases the probability of defect formation. Furthermore, the interaction between defect pairs may further reduce the defect formation energy. Hence, precisely regulate defects to suppress the AR and trap state is urgent strategy.
we provide a proposal for the synthesis of high-quality and low-defect QDs.

SYNTHESIS AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES

Because of the high formation energy of I–III–VI QDs and derivatives, the mainstream strategies of synthesizing QDs are still based on high temperature and organic phase medium, which produce QDs with good dispersion, excellent optical properties, high crystallinity, and high PLQY (∼90%). The methods reported currently can be divided into hot-injection (HI), one-pot heating-up (HU), and single-source precursor thermal pyrolysis.

The HI method is the most adopted method for synthesizing quaternary/quinary QDs and especially shows great advantages in precisely constructing core/shell structure. The HU method may show superiority in the uniform nucleation and growth of QDs, and the reaction results can be controlled just by adjusting reaction temperature and precursor ratio. Single-source precursor thermal pyrolysis is almost the simplest synthesis scheme, while the ratio of ions and reaction process cannot be precisely regulated, which is the obstacle for preparation of high-efficiency and low-defect QDs. As for the aqueous-phase method, whose good biocompatibility is more suitable for the application of biological imaging (near-infrared). At the same time, the boiling point of water limits the preparation of QDs with good performance at high temperature (such as QDs with Ga3+).

Notably, the chemical activities among different ions (normally Ga3+, Ag+, Zn2+, In3+, S2−) are unmatched, accompanied by the shortcomings of ternary QDs in adjusting composition, spectra, and efficiency; here the quaternary/quinary QDs and derivatives will be focused more on.

The synthesis of I–III–VI QDs and derivatives goes through the stages of nucleation, growth, and construction of the core/shell structure, which can be summarized as processes in Figure 3a. For the synthesis of ZAIS and ZAIGS QDs, Ag+ exhibits a high activity, tending to form Ag2S cores (80−120 °C), while the appropriate temperature for In3+-doping is 140−180 °C to form AgInS2 compounds. Zn2+ and Ga3+ require higher temperatures of 220−260 °C (ZAIS) and 240−300 °C (ZAIGS) to efficiently enter the lattice, respectively. The temperature steps effectively avoid defects in the inhomogeneous nucleation process.

Figure 2. (a) General synthetic scheme of I–III–VI QDs and derivatives. (b) and (c) PL spectra and photograph of AIGS/ZnS QDs by adjusting the content of Zn2+, Ga3+ and In3+. Adapted with permission from ref 53. Copyright 2018 Elsevier. (d) PL spectral comparison of AgIn0.5Ga0.5S2 (red) and AgIn0.5Ga0.5S2/GaS2 (blue) QDs, the inset demonstrated picture of core/shell solution (Ex = 390 nm). Adapted with permission from ref 44. Copyright 2023 American Chemical Society.
Actually, the specific experimental conditions, such as ionic chemical activity, temperature, ligand, and core/shell structure, are considered to be the key factors inspiring the improvement strategies of I−III−VI QDs and derivatives. The experimental conditions and optical properties are summarized in Table 1.

Control of Reaction Conditions. The key to the successful preparation of spectral adjustable and efficient QDs is to regulate the chemical activity of different ions and inhibit crystal/surface defects, where the synthesis temperature and selection/dosage of precursors play crucial roles. The inhibition of crystal/surface defects, where the synthesis temperature and optical properties are summarized in Table 1.

Strategies of I−II−III−VI QDs are to regulate the chemical activity of different ions and improve the PLQY, convergence energy and narrow the core/shell structure is an effective way to passivate the defects, which can in turn eliminate nonradiative recombination center and improve PLQY. The CGS QDs get a high PLQY of 64% (Figure 2b,c). Besides, due to different chemical activity at a same temperature, the PL of AIGS QDs is nonlinearly consistent with the feed ratio of In³⁺/Ga³⁺, leading to an uneven element distribution. It worth noting that Ga³⁺ can effectively passivate the shallow defect energy level and weaken the DAP process, which may be the origin of narrow FWHM of Ga³⁺ doped ZAIGS QDs. Although Ga³⁺ has low chemical activity and a relatively slow incorporation rate into the lattice, the selection of suitable Ga³⁺ and S²⁻ source (e.g., Ga(DTDC), and DMTU) and higher temperature can be a proper solution. Simultaneously, Zn²⁺-doping is reported as an efficient strategy in reducing the concentration of cation vacancy (V_{Ga}^0 V_{Ga}^{-}), which can in turn eliminate nonradiative recombination center and improve PLQY. The CGS QDs get a high PLQY of 77.73% passivated by Zn(Sn)₂, whose lower reactivity is more favorable to passivate the surface defects. Furthermore, the V_{Ga}⁻⁻⁻ level is closely related to Ag⁺, where less V_{Ag} endows QDs strong radiative recombination process by enhancing DAP emission, thus improving PLQY to 58−69%.

Core/Shell Structure. The construction of quantum-well core/shell structure is an effective way to passivate the defects, improve the PLQY, convergence energy and narrow the FWHM of QDs. The existed difficulty of constructing core/shell structure is the low-defect passivation efficiency, which hinges on the poor lattice matching and inferior charge injection resulting from the thick shell.

ZnS is the most reported shell in I−III−VI QDs, its wide direct band gap limits the energy scattering of core, and the surface binding passivates the defects of QDs. Yu et al. synthesized an AgIn₅S₈/ZnS core−shell structure QDs by successively injecting S-precursor and Zn-precursor, benefited by lower surficial defect density, the QDs demonstrated potential in high-efficiency LEDs. Furthermore, multiple cladding successfully achieves an increased passivation effect. Interestingly, excessive alloying process of Zn²⁺ would break down the crystal structure of I−III−VI QDs and seriously hinder the subsequent shell forming process, which may be the fundamental obstacle for obtaining high-quality QDs with a large amount of Zn²⁺-precursor.

However, the unfavorable lattice matching between core and shells caused by thicker and uncontrollable ZnS may adversely affect the luminescent properties, such as intermediate energy level and higher turn-on voltage in optoelectronic devices, which means that the effect of ZnS shell in narrowing the spectra of QDs is unobvious. GaS₃ shell is considered as an ideal candidate for synthesizing narrow-FWHM core/shell QDs. Kuwabata et al. realized a narrow-FWHM (31 nm) AgInₓGa₁−ₓS₃/GaₓS₃ QDs by passivating defects with GaS₃ (Figure 2d). Usually, the GaS₃ shell exists as an amorphous phase, which is more conducive to the stability of various lattice plane and defects, even though there still remains the “shoulder peak”, which is probably caused by hybrid phase and incomplete passivation of defect-related emission. Correspondingly, In₅S₈ is also reported as shell material in AIS QDs to enhance the band-edge emission, nevertheless, a more pronounced tail in spectra always can be discovered, which may originate from the emission of small AgIn₅S₈ byproducts.

Ligand Engineering. Ligand is a crucial factor for precise regulating the photoelectric properties of QDs, and exerts influence on the synthesis and post-treatment process of QDs. However, there only few ligands have been reported for enhancing the performance of I−III−VI QDs and derivatives. The effect of ligands on QDs can be concluded as the following aspects: (i) Adjusting energy level. Li et al. found that the use of phosphoric acid ligands with different dipoles can change the energy level of AIGS QDs, as phosphoric acid with long alkyl chain can move both CBM and VBM toward higher energy. (ii) Regulating the phase and size of QDs. Long alkane chain thiols and primary alkylamines can contribute to the formation of orthonormal AGS phase, whereas employing a TOA yields a mixture of tetragonal AGS and AgₓGaS₇. Furthermore, increasing the proportion of OA makes the size of prepared-QDs significantly smaller, and OA is effective in passivating QDs more strongly than OA, thus preventing the unnecessary byproducts. In contrast, excessive OA can reduce the nucleation sites, and thus promote the growth of QDs to form the larger QDs. (iii) Defects...
passivation. The Z-type ligands including Lewis-acidic metal complexes, can coordinate with unsaturated electron defects (V\textsubscript{S} and V\textsubscript{Se}), the schematic diagram of ligand passivation is shown in Figure 3a.

Kuwabata et al. reported that Z-type ligand like MX\textsubscript{n} (ZnCl\textsubscript{2}, Zn(Ac)\textsubscript{2}, InCl\textsubscript{3}, GaCl\textsubscript{3}) not only binds to the dangling sulfur defect but also provides the X\textsuperscript{−} that eventually removes the original ligands through ligand exchange, so the AIGS QDs treated by ZnCl\textsubscript{2} can get a high PQLY of 73.4% (Figure 3b).

Moreover, Ga(DDTC)\textsubscript{3} can be bound to AIGS core as Z-type ligands, which will react smoothly to release Ga\textsuperscript{3+} and S\textsubscript{2−} on the surface of core to build a core/shell structure. Ligands such as TOP, TBP, and DDP are also reported to increase the PLQY (up to 56%−68%) of I−III−VI QDs and derivatives.

### LED APPLICATIONS

As mentioned above, I−III−VI QDs and derivatives demonstrate large Stokes shifts and broader FWHM, which have excellent performance in downconverters electronic and backlight white LEDs (E-/B-WLEDs), the performance of WLEDs are given in Table 2.

Usually, single-QDs emitters are the best choice for E-WLEDs for bias-insensitive color stability and high efficiency, the main limitation is the difficulties in regulating the location of radiative recombination centers and broadening the emission spectra. Reasonably designing various recombination channels in QDs to achieve energy transfer is a feasible strategy for high-CRI WLEDs. Yang et al. obtained all-solution processed single-QDs E-WLEDs and flexible planar device by regulating the ratio of Cu\textsuperscript{+}/Ga\textsuperscript{3+} (Figure 4a),\textsuperscript{35} whose DAP recombination enhanced the emission near 600 nm (originating from CB-V\textsubscript{Cu} transition). The EL spectra indicative of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QDs</th>
<th>CRI</th>
<th>CCT [K]</th>
<th>V\textsubscript{on} [V]</th>
<th>L\textsubscript{max} [cd m\textsuperscript{-2}]</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{Q} [%]</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{x} [nm]</th>
<th>ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CGS</td>
<td>83−88</td>
<td>7494−8234</td>
<td>~5</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS, CIS</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3995−6046</td>
<td>~4</td>
<td>2172</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS</td>
<td>87−90</td>
<td>3796−4447</td>
<td>~5</td>
<td>2135</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS</td>
<td>82−84</td>
<td>5527−5919</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS:ZnS</td>
<td>83−87</td>
<td>3651−5410</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS:ZnS</td>
<td>65−95</td>
<td>6417−7884</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS</td>
<td>90.33</td>
<td>5947</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Color rendering index (CRI), correlated color temperature (CCT).*

![Figure 4](https://example.com/fig4.png)
radiative recombination of injected carriers were well confined to CGS/ZnS emitting layer. Notably, the DAP emission gets worse with voltage increase, which seriously hinders the color stability of single-QDs E-WLEDs.

I–III–VI QDs and derivatives demonstrate a negligible reactivity among various QDs, which is conductive to construct single-layer mixed-QDs emitting layer. In 2019, Yang et al. fabricated E-WLEDs by mixing ZCGS (blue) and CIS (yellow) in different proportions, and finally got a high-efficiency E-WLEDs (EQE = 4.6%) with CRI of 81–82. Interestingly, the FRET between ZCGS and CIS QDs contributes the balanced emission.

B-WLEDs are easier to achieve than E-WLEDs, since the carrier injection and transport of the former can be ignored. The UV/blue LEDs chip is needed as the backlight to excite QDs, and its brightness and performance are closely related to the luminous power of the chip, composition, PLQY of QDs and thermodynamic quenching of excitons.

The energy transfer of Mn$^{2+}$ is considered as an ideal choice for compensating the deficient DAP emission and expanding the emission range, which provides an alternative for B-WLEDs. As shown in Figure 4b, a systematic white spectra evolution can be achieved by regulating the Mn$^{2+}$ concentration. As mentioned before, the insignificent reactivity of QDs makes it viable for construction of mixed-QDs B-WLEDs with near-ideal CRI and CCT (Figure 4c), in which the proportion CIS QDs contribute the red-range emission.

As for monochrome display (RGB-QLEDs), I–III–VI QDs and derivatives lag behind, primarily resulting from their low electrophoretic characteristics 

Table 3. Performance Summary of RGB-QLEDs Based on I–III–VI QDs and Derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QDs</th>
<th>EL [nm]</th>
<th>FWHM [nm]</th>
<th>$V_{in}$ [V]</th>
<th>$I_{max}$ [cd m$^{-2}$]</th>
<th>CE [cd A$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>EQE [%]</th>
<th>ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZAGS</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>~75</td>
<td>~4</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS</td>
<td>~479</td>
<td>~175</td>
<td>~5.8</td>
<td>~39</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$_2$Ga$_3$S$_4$</td>
<td>~538</td>
<td>~125</td>
<td>~5.5</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$_2$Ga$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>~558</td>
<td>~130</td>
<td>~4.8</td>
<td>~900</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>~80</td>
<td>~4.4</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGS</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>~200</td>
<td>~5.1</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGS/GaS$_x$</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>~75</td>
<td>~5</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS$_{Se}$</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>~5.5</td>
<td>3921</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGSe</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>~110</td>
<td>~5.5</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS/ZnS</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>~110</td>
<td>~3</td>
<td>8464</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS/ZnS</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>~75</td>
<td>~2.8</td>
<td>113.83</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Luminance (L), current efficiency (CE).”

with the PL, while that of CGS is nearly equivalent to PL. The main reason may be related to the excitonic coupling to longitudinal optical photons under electric field. More surface-defect AGS are likely to provoke a higher degree of phonon coupling. Even so, Ag–Ga-based QDs are still the best candidate for preparing blue LEDs. Tang et al. reported the narrowest blue QLEDs (48 nm) based on Ag–Ga–Zn–S QDs (Figure 4d), the ratios of cations (Ag$^+/Zn^{2+}$ and Ag$^+/Ga^{3+}$) are the crucial for narrow emission. This research provides a feasible strategy for pure-monochrome emission. Tsuzuki et al. reported a GaS$_x$-passivated AIGS QD, whose defect sites are compensated, and the defect-related direct electron injection are suppressed. As shown in Figure 4e, the fabricated QLED demonstrated a narrowest green electronic emission (33 nm). For red LEDs, a common strategy is fabricating with CIS/ZnS QDs as luminous layer, whose strong DAP emission and high PLQY are beneficial to LEDs with low turn-on voltage (2.8 V) and high EQE (3.36%) (Figure 4f). However, the researches in narrowing the FWHM of R-QLEDs are still backward (usually larger than 100 nm), and efficiency improvements of RGB-QLEDs also need to be further developed.

One of the inevitable problems for monochromatic QDs is the spectral stability under voltage. The FRET and Auger excited state become more sensitive to lower bang gap, resulting in an crescendo red emission as the voltage increases, which can be prevented by thicker shells and effective surface passivation. Interestingly, the energy level of defects are close-proximity to the band edge in red QDs, hence red LEDs exhibit better electrogentic spectral stability than other monochromatic LEDs.

### OUTLOOK

In summary, I–III–VI QDs and derivatives were introduced in detail from the perspectives of electronic energy structure, luminescence mechanism, synthesis, optical properties, and LEDs, which are expected to be excellent candidates for traditional II–VI, III–V, and perovskite QDs. For the current I–III–VI QDs and derivatives, the necessary strategies and directions should be proposed to better promote the development of high-efficiency and stable QDs, especially in synthetic strategy improvement and post treatment. For the applications of LEDs, the I–III–VI QDs and derivatives demonstrate promising prospect in WLEDs.
benefiting from their broader FWHM and complex emissive mechanism. Comparatively, although the progress of RGB-LEDs lags behind, there is still the prospect of further optimization. According to DFT calculation, it is possible to achieve high luminous efficiency and color purity. Specifically, the improvement strategy of QDs and devices can be concluded in Figure 5.

**Strategies in RGB-QDs.** Monochrome displays require strict demands on the crystal structure and energy band. 

(i) Phase purification. Thermodynamically regulating the transition of different crystal phases can provide ideas for the pure phase preparation of I–III–VI QDs and derivatives. Furthermore, pure/ordered (hetero) phase can be realized by investigating phase purification and high-efficiency heterostructure construction process, such as ion activity regulation, phase diagram, gradient temperature control, and ligand passivation. 

(ii) Band structure regulation. First, the first-principles calculation of the excited-state dynamic behavior about I–III–VI QDs and derivatives may be an instructive design to quantitatively describe physical characteristics such as band-edge dispersion, density of states, and transition dipole moment. Second, construction of efficient pure/ordered phase and heterostructure are effective strategies to converge excited state energy. Based on the composition-dependent energy band, energy transmission channels can be built through ion doping and type-I core/shell heterostructure to reduce the energy loss of excited states. The special surface anion-rich quantum well structure may be another effective shell to converge the energy.

**Strategies in White-Emission QDs.** Different from the energy-convergence design of monochromatic QDs, the white-emission QDs refer multiple recombination centers and broader emissive range. 

(i) Multiphase coexistence. The different emission spectra of various phases should be considered as an advantage for fabricating white emission with desired CRI, which can be obtained by adjusting the ratio of phases. 

(ii) Multiple recombination centers. Fully exploring the favorable factors of defect energy levels in the regulation of energy band structure of QDs, and accurately designing the radiative recombination centers, can provide guidance for excitons to transfer among multiple energy levels, which is beneficial for the broad and multicenter emission. Drawing on the methodology of ZnO QDs, whose luminescence mechanism is controlled by defects, may guide further exploration of the internal energy transfer and regulation method in I–III–VI QDs and derivatives.

**Strategies for QLEDs.** Combining the application requirements of RGB- and W-QLEDs with low-cost conductive polymers, deep-level HTL, interface passivation layers to match the energy level of QDs are the major concerns on the device, which are the foundations of efficient carrier injection-transport-combination system. 

(i) The surface ligands and shell thickness of QDs are the primary obstacles for carrier injection. Appropriate polarity purification reagent can effectively accommodate the surface state of QDs and enhance carrier injection. 

(ii) In terms of QLEDs, both bilateral surface passivation and the construction of gradient electron transport layer can effectively enhance the balance of carrier injection and transport, thus improving device efficiency. 

(iii) Correspondingly, carrier recombination mechanism and region regulation are also effective strategies for QLEDs, and novel device structures with low FRET and Auger quenching also need to be developed. 

There is no doubt that lots of researches should be done to achieve a breakthrough, and I–III–VI QDs and derivatives, as environmentally friendly QDs with designable radiative recombination center, indicate superior potential in the development of high-efficiency monochrome and white-emitting applications.

---

**Figure 5.** Schematic diagram summarizes the strategies, solutions, and the application prospects of I–III–VI QDs and derivatives in monochrome and white-QLEDs.
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